

European Consortium for Accreditation JOQAR Dissemination Conference

Quality Assurance and Recognition of Joint Programmes: The Art and the Passion

Madrid 20th - 21st June 2013

Expressionism:
Quality Assurance and Recognition of Joint
Programmes. Methodology of single
accreditation/QA procedures.

Rafael Llavori de Micheo

ANECA, España ENQA Board Member



AGENCIA NACIONAL DE EVALUACIÓN DE LA CALIDAD Y ACREDITACIÓN





Quality Assurance and Recognition of Joint Programmes

Joint-programme

Methodology: Accreditation criteria



Recognition

Iannus dilemma





What is the objective of the JOQAR project in terms of recognition?

- To ensure that joint programmes are facilitated in two specific areas: accreditation and recognition.
- To look into cross-border QA of J-P and recognition of degrees awarded by these programmes.
- Since J-P involve many different countries, multilateral mutual recognition agreements are necessary. MULTRAS
- Close collaboration with ENIC-NARICS is needed







- Single accreditation of J-P ideal laboratory to explore cooperation between agencies and legal frameworks
- Agreement between a shared core and national specifications
- Recognition ("semi-authomatic") of accreditation results by the agencies. MULTRAS
- What are the real implications of this "recognition"?
- But, what about the governments?







Diversity of case studies concerning the HE recognition framework and chain of responsibilities at the national level

- Recognition solely responsibility of the HEI
- Recognition as responsibility of the government authority
- •Wide range of colour gradations: shared responsibility HEI + Ministry; ENIC-NARICS + HEIS; ENIC-NARICS + HEIS; ...



 Legal frameworks affects and impacts recognition of degrees derived from accreditation of J-P



- Effort by QAAs to develop a single accreditation methodology related to recognition
- ECA through TEAM II Project (2008-10) and JOQAR Project (2011-13) advanced in the methodology:













Conclusions

Although agencies can do more to facilitate single accreditation procedures, the limits to their action are clear:

- A change in the validity periods and appeal procedures require a change of regulations in some countries
- The need to find solutions for the very specific national criteria which difficult single accreditation procedures
- Obstacle to recognition in the national legal frameworks thought for national purposes
- Need to separate professional interests derived from certain qualifications and academic ones



Conclusions (II)

A combined effort provides a positive framework to advance in recognition:

- The Lisbon Recognition Convention
- Agreements between the ENIC-NARICs networks with ECA
- Need to separate professional interests derived from certain qualifications and academic ones
- Single accreditation procedures of J-P a good tool for recognition a big step further in the work of one of the actors involved.
- A necessary condition...but not sufficient



Conclusions (III)

What else is needed?:

- Political decision of removing the specific national requirements when assessing J-P following the mandate of the Ministers in the Bucharest Communiqué
- Involve international organsiations to support the political shift:



Recognition of joint-programmes

Traum...
Dream...





...oder Trauma ...or nightmare



Thank you very much

www.aneca.es

relint@aneca.es