

# Joint programmes: recognition and quality assurance

Axel Aerden & Cecilia Ruiz





## **Recognition of degrees awarded**



## **Recognition practice and challenges**

Vare Sa

CORVINUS

ERASMUS MUNDUS - JOINT EUROPEAN MASTER IN COMPARATIVE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT

ME COGNOM

Recognition may be denied

....

te@m

- An unrecognised institution figures on the awarded degree
- One of the institutions involved in the programme cannot offer the joint programme (yet)

Recognised

Recognised

Recognised

?

### **Challenges overcome**

Guidelines for Good Practice for Awarding Joint Degrees

#### Framework for Fair Recognition of Joint Degrees

Framework for Fair Recognition of Joint Degrees

Axel Aerden & Jenneke Lokhoff

eca

european consortium for accreditation

6



## So many challenges left, and all national

Many <u>national requirements</u> make the award of joint degrees & joint Diploma Supplements difficult/ impossible

Touches on the issue of HE autonomy

#### **Examples:**

- Joint degrees are still not legally allowed in all countries in all cases;
- Not all HE institutions are allowed to sign their own degrees;
- National degree designs/templates don't accommodate international joint degrees;
- National implementation of the Diploma Supplement: not the original template, mandatory content (without international perspective);

## Quality assurance

#### **Bucharest Communiqué**

**Quality assurance is essential for building trust and to reinforce the attractiveness** of the EHEA's offerings, including in the provision of cross-border education. We commit to both maintaining the public responsibility for quality assurance and to actively involve a wide range of stakeholders in this development. We acknowledge the ENQA, ESU, EUA and EURASHE (the E4 group) report on the implementation and application of the "European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance" (ESG)1. We will revise the ESG to improve their clarity, applicability and usefulness, including their scope. The revision will be based upon an initial proposal to be prepared by the E4 in cooperation with Education International, BUSINESSEUROPE and the European Quality Assurance Register for Higher Education (EQAR), which will be submitted to the Bologna Follow-Up Group.

We welcome the external evaluation of EQAR and we encourage quality assurance agencies to apply for registration. We will allow EQAR-registered agencies to perform their activities across the EHEA, while complying with national requirements. In particular, we will aim to recognise quality assurance decisions of EQAR-registered agencies on joint and double degree programmes.

10

#### **Quality assurance**

A. Composition and independence of the panel;
B. Standards;
C. Basis for the evaluation
D. Site visit;
E. Outcome and consequences;
F. Publication of the outcome and report

european consortium for accreditation

actices

ound

10000

The Observation should provide evidence if the accreditation procedures and standards are free of significant differences

#### **MULTRA Agencies** acceptation



#### **Expert Panel**



| Expertise  |  | INCLUDED          |
|--|--|-------------------|
| <ul> <li>experience in qua</li> </ul>                              | lity assurance in higher education                                     | <yes no=""></yes> |
| <ul> <li>appropriate acade<br/>reputation in the</li> </ul>        | emic qualifications and scientific or professional<br>relevant area(s) |                   |
| <ul> <li>relevant international com</li> </ul>                     | onal experience that provides a basis for making<br>parisons           |                   |
| <ul> <li>knowledge on tea</li> </ul>                               | ching and learning methods   |                   |
| <ul> <li>expertise in development<br/>education program</li> </ul> | opment, design, provision and evaluation of higher<br>mmes             |                   |
| <ul> <li>knowledge of the<br/>and applicable leg</li> </ul>        | country-specific system of higher education, institutions<br>islation  |                   |
| <ul> <li>student represent</li> </ul>                              | atives in the respective area(s)                                       |                   |
| <ul> <li>representatives fr</li> </ul>                             | om the labour market   |                   |

a significant proportion of panel members from outside the country





#### **STANDARDS**





#### Similar Standards in all countries



**Basic for the Evaluation** 



PUENTES

Importance of self-analysis. Guidelines for self evaluation report

**Internal Quality Assurance System** 



| SITE VIS | Т |
|----------|---|
|          |   |





#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

#### STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVEMENT



16

**Outcome and consequences** 





#### **Avoid Overbureaucratisation**

JOQAR



#### LHANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION LHANK AND FOR ADDR ATTENTION

## WE HOPE TO SEE YOU AT THE JOQAR DISSEMINATION CONFERENCE 20 & 21 JUNE, MADRID

european consortium for accreditation

eca